arXiv:math/0106241v1 [math.QA] 28 Jun 2001

BRAID GROUP ACTIONS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

VYJAYANTHI CHARI

Abstract

We define an action of the braid group of a simple Lie algebra on the space of imaginary roots in the corresponding quantum affine algebra. We then use this action to determine an explicit condition for a tensor product of arbitrary irreducible finite–dimensional representations is cyclic. This allows us to determine the set of points at which the corresponding R–matrix has a zero.

0. Introduction

In this paper we give a sufficient condition for the tensor product of irreducible finite–dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras to be cyclic. This condition is obtained by defining a braid group action on the imaginary root vectors. We make the condition explicit in Section 5 and see that it is a natural generalization of the condition in [CP1] given in the sl_2 case. This allows us for instance, to determine the finite set of points at which a tensor product of fundamental representations can fail to be cyclic. Our result proves a generalization of a recent result of Kashiwara [K], [VV], [N1]. Further, it also establishes a conjecture stated in [K], [HKOTY].

We describe our results in some detail. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple finite–dimensional Lie algebra of rank n, and let $\mathbf{U}_q$ be the quantized untwisted affine algebra over $\mathbf{C}(q)$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. For every n–tuple $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_n)$ of polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbf{C}(q)[u]$ and with constant term one, there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible finite–dimensional representation $V(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ of $\mathbf{U}_q$. For each element w in the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$, let $v_{w\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ be the extremal vector defined in [K]. In this paper we compute the action of the imaginary root vectors in $\mathbf{U}_q$ on the elements $v_{w\boldsymbol{\pi}}$. To do this we define in Section 2 an action of the braid group $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ on elements of $(\mathbf{C}(q)[[u]])^n$ and prove that the eigenvalue of $v_{w\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ is the element $T_w(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ where $T : W \to \mathcal{B}$ is the canonical section defined in [Bo].

Let $\pi(u) \in \mathbf{C}(q)[u]$ be a polynomial that splits in $\mathbf{C}(q)$. Any such polynomial π can be written uniquely as a product

$$\pi(u) = \prod_{r=1}^{k} (1 - a_r q^{m_r - 1} u)(1 - a_r q^{m_r - 3} u) \cdots (1 - a_r q^{-m_r + 1} u),$$

where $a_r \in \mathbf{C}(q)$ and $m_r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ satisfy

$$\frac{a_r}{a_l} \neq q^{\pm(m_r + m_l - 2m)}, \quad 0 \le m < \min(m_r, m_l),$$

if $r < l$. Let $S(\pi)$ be the collection of the pairs (a_r, m_r). $1 \le r \le k$ defined above. Say that a polynomial $\pi'(u)$ is in general position with respect to $\pi(u)$, if

$$\frac{a'_r}{a_\ell} \neq q^{-(m'_r + m_\ell - 2p)}, 0 \le p < m_r,$$

for all pairs $(a'_r, m'_r) \in S(\pi')$ and $(a_\ell, m_\ell) \in S(\pi)$.

Our main result Theorem 3 is the following, we restrict ourselves to the simply laced (only in the introduction). Let $s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_n$ be the set of simple reflections in W and let $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ be a reduced expression for the longest element $w_0 \in W$.

The tensor product $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}') \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ *is cyclic on* $v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}'} \otimes v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$ *if for all* $1 \le j \le N$ *the polynomial* $(T_{i_{j+1}} \cdots T_{i_N} \boldsymbol{\pi}')_{i_j}$ *is in general position with respect to* $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{i_j}$*. More generally, let* $V_1, \cdots V_r$

be irreducible finite–dimensional representations such that $V_j \otimes V_l$ *is cyclic if* $j < \ell$. *Then* $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r$ *is cyclic.*
Of course, we first have to prove that $(T_{i_{j+1}} \cdots T_{i_N} \boldsymbol{\pi}')_{i_j}$ is a polynomial, we do this in Section 2. In Section 5, we write down the polynomials $(T_{i_{j+1}} \cdots T_{i_N} \boldsymbol{\pi}')_{i_j}$, for all $\mathfrak{g}$ and a specific reduced expression of w_0 thus making the condition for a tensor product to be cyclic explicit and we see that it is the appropriate generalization of the result in the case when $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$.

To make the connection with Kashiwara's conjectures, we consider the case

$$\pi_j(u) = 1 \quad (j \neq i), \quad \pi_i(u) = \prod_{s=1}^{m} (1 - q^{m+1-2s} a u) \quad (a \in \mathbf{C}(q)).$$

Denoting this n–tuple of polynomials as $\boldsymbol{\pi}^i_{m,a}$ and the corresponding representation by $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^i_{m,a}$, we prove the following: *Let* $l \geq 1$ *and let* $i_j \in I$, $m_j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $a_j \in \mathbf{C}(q)$ *for* $1 \leq j \leq l$. *The tensor product* $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,a_1}) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,a_2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_l}_{m_l,a_l})$ *is cyclic on the tensor product of highest weight vectors if for all* $r < s$,

$$\frac{a_r}{a_s} \neq q^{m_r - m_s - p}, \quad \forall\, p \geq 0.$$

In Corollary 5.1, we write down the precise values of a_r/a_s at which the tensor product is not cyclic. In the case of two when $\ell = 2$, this is the set of all possible zeros of the R–matrix, $R(a) : V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,a}) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,1}) \to V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,a}) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,1})$.
The case when $m_j = 1$ was originally conjectured and partially proved in [AK] and completely proved in [K] (and in [VV] for the simply-laced case), [N1],[N2]. The result in the case when the m_i are arbitrary but $a_i = 1$ for all i was conjectured in [K], [HKOTY]. In the case of A_n and C_n and when $m_j = 1$ the values of a_r/a_s where the tensor product is not cyclic was written in [AK]

In the exceptional case the explicit calculation of the possible points of reducibility can be used to write down the precise $\mathfrak{g}$–module structures of the exceptional algebras for *all* nodes of the Dynkin diagram. Details of this will appear elsewhere.

Finally, recall that a tensor product of two irreducible finite–dimensional representations is irreducible if both $V \otimes V'$ and its dual are cyclic on the tensor product of highest weight vectors. Thus our theorem gives us a sufficient condition for the tensor product $V \otimes V'$ to be irreducible. When $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$, this condition is the same as the one given in [CP1].

1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the definition of quantum affine algebras and several results on the classification of their irreducible finite–dimensional representations.

Let q be an indeterminate, let $\mathbf{C}(q)$ be the field of rational functions in q with complex coefficients. For $r, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $m \geq r$, define

$$[m]_q = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}, \qquad [m]_q! = [m]_q [m-1]_q \ldots [2]_q [1]_q, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[m]_q!}{[r]_q! [m-r]_q!}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex finite–dimensional simple Lie algebra of rank n, set $I = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, let $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ (resp. $\{\omega_i : i \in I\}$) be the set of simple roots (resp. fundamental weights) of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. As usual, Q^+ (resp. P^+) denotes the non–negative root (resp. weight) lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be the $n \times n$ Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\hat{A} = (a_{ij})$ be the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ extended Cartan matrix associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\hat{I} = I \cup \{0\}$. Fix non–negative integers d_i $(i \in \hat{I})$ such that the matrix $(d_i a_{ij})$ is symmetric. Set $q_i = q^{d_i}$ and $[m]_i = [m]_{q_i}$.

Proposition 1.1. *There is a Hopf algebra $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_q$ over $\mathbf{C}(q)$ which is generated as an algebra by elements E_{α_i}, F_{α_i}, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in \hat{I})$, with the following defining relations:*

$$K_iK_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1}K_i = 1, \qquad K_iK_j = K_jK_i,$$
$$K_iE_{\alpha_j}K_i^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}}E_{\alpha_j},$$
$$K_iF_{\alpha_j}K_i^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}}F_{\alpha_j},$$
$$[E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_j}] = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},$$
$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i (E_{\alpha_i})^r E_{\alpha_j} (E_{\alpha_i})^{1-a_{ij}-r} = 0 \qquad \textit{if } i \neq j,$$
$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_i (F_{\alpha_i})^r F_{\alpha_j} (F_{\alpha_i})^{1-a_{ij}-r} = 0 \qquad \textit{if } i \neq j.$$

The comultiplication of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_q$ is given on generators by

$$\Delta(E_{\alpha_i}) = E_{\alpha_i} \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_{\alpha_i}, \quad \Delta(F_{\alpha_i}) = F_{\alpha_i} \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_{\alpha_i}, \quad \Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i,$$

for $i \in \hat{I}$. □

Set $K_\theta = \prod_{i=1}^n K_i^{r_i/d_i}$, where $\theta = \sum r_i\alpha_i$ is the highest root in R^+. Let $\mathbf{U}_q$ be the quotient of $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_q$ by the ideal generated by the central element $K_0K_\theta^{-1}$; we call this the quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

It follows from [Dr], [B], [J] that $\mathbf{U}_q$ is isomorphic to the algebra with generators $x_{i,r}^{\pm}$ $(i \in I,\, r \in \mathbf{Z})$, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$, $h_{i,r}$ $(i \in I,\, r \in \mathbf{Z}\backslash\{0\})$ and the following defining relations:

$$K_iK_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1}K_i = 1, \quad K_iK_j = K_jK_i,$$
$$K_ih_{j,r} = h_{j,r}K_i,$$
$$K_ix_{j,r}^{\pm}K_i^{-1} = q_i^{\pm a_{ij}}x_{j,r}^{\pm},$$
$$[h_{i,r}, h_{j,s}] = 0, \;\; [h_{i,r}, x_{j,s}^{\pm}] = \pm\frac{1}{r}[ra_{ij}]_i x_{j,r+s}^{\pm},$$
$$x_{i,r+1}^{\pm}x_{j,s}^{\pm} - q_i^{\pm a_{ij}}x_{j,s}^{\pm}x_{i,r+1}^{\pm} = q_i^{\pm a_{ij}}x_{i,r}^{\pm}x_{j,s+1}^{\pm} - x_{j,s+1}^{\pm}x_{i,r}^{\pm},$$
$$[x_{i,r}^{+}, x_{j,s}^{-}] = \delta_{i,j}\frac{\psi_{i,r+s}^{+} - \psi_{i,r+s}^{-}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}},$$
$$\sum_{\pi\in\Sigma_m}\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_{i,r_{\pi(1)}}^{\pm}\ldots x_{i,r_{\pi(k)}}^{\pm}x_{j,s}^{\pm}x_{i,r_{\pi(k+1)}}^{\pm}\ldots x_{i,r_{\pi(m)}}^{\pm} = 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

for all sequences of integers $r_1, \ldots, r_m$, where $m = 1 - a_{ij}$, Σ_m is the symmetric group on m letters, and the $\psi_{i,r}^{\pm}$ are determined by equating powers of u in the formal power series

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\psi_{i,\pm r}^{\pm}u^{\pm r} = K_i^{\pm 1}\text{exp}\left(\pm(q_i - q_i^{-1})\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}h_{i,\pm s}u^{\pm s}\right).$$

For $i \in I$, the preceding isomorphism maps E_{α_i} to $x_{i,0}^{+}$ and F_{α_i} to $x_{i,0}^{-}$. The subalgebra generated by E_{α_i}, F_{α_i}, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$ is the quantized enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}_q^{fin}$ associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathbf{U}_q(<)$ be the subalgebra generated by the elements $x_{i,k}^{-}$ $(i \in I,\, k \in \mathbf{Z}\})$. For $i \in I$, let $\mathbf{U}_i$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_q$ generated by the elements $\{x_{i,k}^{\pm} : k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, the subalgebra $\mathbf{U}_i^{fin}$ is defined in the same way. Notice that $\mathbf{U}_i$ is isomorphic to the quantum affine algebra $\mathbf{U}_{q_i}(\widehat{sl_2})$. Let Δ_i be the comultiplication of $\mathbf{U}_{q_i}(\widehat{sl_2})$.

An explicit formula for the comultiplication on the Drinfeld generators is not known. Also, the subalgebra $\mathbf{U}_i$ is not a Hopf subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}_q$. However, partial information which is sufficient for our needs is given in the next proposition. Let

$$X^\pm = \sum_{i\in I, k\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}(q)x_{i,k}^\pm, \quad X^\pm(i) = \sum_{j\in I\backslash\{i\}, k\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{C}(q)x_{j,k}^\pm.$$

Proposition 1.2. *The restriction of Δ to $\mathbf{U}_i$ satisfies,*

$$\Delta(x) = \Delta_i(x) \mod (\mathbf{U}_q\otimes(\mathbf{U}_q\backslash\mathbf{U}_i)).$$

More precisely:

(i) *Modulo $\mathbf{U}_qX^-\otimes\mathbf{U}_q(X^+)^2 + \mathbf{U}_qX^-\otimes\mathbf{U}_qX^+(i)$, we have*

$$\Delta(x_{i,k}^+) = x_{i,k}^+\otimes 1 + K_i\otimes x_{i,k}^+ + \sum_{j=1}^{k}\psi_{i,j}^+\otimes x_{i,k-j}^+ \quad (k\ge 0),$$

$$\Delta(x_{i,-k}^+) = K_i^{-1}\otimes x_{i,-k}^+ + x_{i,-k}^+\otimes 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\psi_{i,-j}^-\otimes x_{i,-k+j}^+ \quad (k> 0).$$

(ii) *Modulo $\mathbf{U}_q(X^-)^2\otimes\mathbf{U}_qX^+ + \mathbf{U}_qX^-\otimes\mathbf{U}_qX^+(i)$, we have*

$$\Delta(x_{i,k}^-) = x_{i,k}^-\otimes K_i + 1\otimes x_{i,k}^- + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}x_{i,k-j}^-\otimes\psi_{i,j}^+ \quad (k>0),$$

$$\Delta(x_{i,-k}^-) = x_{i,-k}^-\otimes K_i^{-1} + 1\otimes x_{i,-k}^- + \sum_{j=1}^{k}x_{i,-k+j}^-\otimes\psi_{i,-j}^- \quad (k\ge 0).$$

(iii) *Modulo $\mathbf{U}_qX^-\otimes\mathbf{U}_qX^+$, we have*

$$\Delta(h_{i,k}) = h_{i,k}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes h_{i,k} \quad (k\in\mathbf{Z}).$$

Proof. Part (iii) was proved in [Da]. The rest of the proposition was proved in [CP3]. □

We conclude this section with some results on the classification of irreducible finite–dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f\in\mathbf{C}(q)[[u]] : f(0)=0\}.$$

For any $\mathbf{U}_q$-module V and any $\mu = \sum_i \mu_i\omega_i\in P$, set

$$V_\mu = \{v\in V : K_i.v = q_i^{\mu_i}v, \quad \forall\ i\in I\}.$$

We say that V is a module of type 1 if

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mu\in P} V_\mu.$$

From now on, we shall only be working with $\mathbf{U}_q$-modules of type 1. For $i\in I$, set

$$h_i^\pm(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{q^{\pm k}h_{i,\pm k}}{[k]_i}u^k.$$

Definition 1.1. We say that a $\mathbf{U}_q$–module V is (pseudo) highest weight, with highest weight $(\lambda, \mathbf{h}^\pm)$, where $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I}\lambda_i\omega_i$, $\mathbf{h}^\pm = (\mathbf{h}_1^\pm(u), \cdots, \mathbf{h}_n^\pm(u))\in\mathcal{A}^n$, if there exists $0\ne v\in V_\lambda$ such that $V = \mathbf{U}_q.v$ and

$$x_{i,k}^+.v = 0, \quad K_i.v = q_i^{\lambda_i}v, \quad h_i^\pm(u).v = \mathbf{h}_i^\pm(u)v,$$

for all $i\in I$, $k\in\mathbf{Z}$. □

If V is any highest weight module, then in fact $V = \mathbf{U}_q(<).v$ and so

$$V_\mu \neq 0 \implies \mu = \lambda - \eta \ \ (\eta \in Q^+).$$

Clearly any highest weight module has a unique irreducible quotient $V(\lambda, \mathbf{h}^\pm)$.

The following was proved in [CP2].

Theorem 1. Assume that the pair $(\lambda, \mathbf{h}^\pm) \in P \times \mathcal{A}^n$ satisfies, the following: $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i\omega_i \in P^+$, and there exist elements $a_{i,r} \in \mathbf{C}(q)$ $(1 \leq r \leq \lambda_i, i \in I)$ such that

$$\mathbf{h}_i^\pm(u) = -\sum_{r=1}^{\lambda_i} \ln(1 - a_{i,r}^{\pm 1}u).$$

Then, $V(\lambda, \mathbf{h}^\pm)$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) irreducible finite–dimensional $\mathbf{U}_q$–module with highest weight $(\lambda, \mathbf{h}^\pm)$. □

Remark. This statement is actually a reformulation of the statement in [CP2]. Setting $\pi_i(u) = \prod_{r=1}^{\lambda_i}(1 - a_{i,r}u)$ and calculating the eigenvalues of the $\psi_{i,k}$ gives the result stated in [CP2], see also [CP4].

From now on, we shall only be concerned with the modules $V(\lambda, \mathbf{h}^\pm)$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1. In view of the preceding remark, it is clear that the isomorphism classes of such modules are indexed by an n–tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_n)$, which have constant term 1, and which are split over $\mathbf{C}(q)$. We denote the corresponding module by $V(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ and the highest weight vector by $v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}$, where $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \deg\pi_i$. For all $i \in I$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$x_{i,k}^+.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = 0, \quad K_i.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = q_i^{\deg\pi_i}v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\frac{q^{\pm k}h_{i,\pm k}}{[k]_i}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = \mathbf{h}_{i,\pm k}v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}, \quad (x_{i,k}^-)^{\deg\pi_i + 1}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}} = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where the $\mathbf{h}_{i,\pm k}$ are determined from the functional equation

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{k>0} \mathbf{h}_{i,\pm k}u^k\right) = \pi_i^\pm(u),$$

with $\pi_i^+(u) = \pi_i(u)$ and $\pi_i^-(u) = u^{\deg\pi_i}\pi_i(u^{-1}) / \left(u^{\deg\pi_i}\pi_i(u^{-1})\right|_{u=0}$.

For any $\mathbf{U}_q$–module V, let V^* denote its left dual. Let $- : I \to I$ be the unique diagram automorphism such that the irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ module $V(\omega_i) \cong V(\omega_{\bar{i}})$. There exists an integer $c \in \mathbf{Z}$ depending only on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$V(\boldsymbol{\pi})^* \cong V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^*), \quad \boldsymbol{\pi}^* = (\pi_{\bar{1}}(q^c u), \cdots, \pi_{\bar{n}}(q^c u)). \tag{1.3}$$

Analogous statements hold for right duals [CP3]. Recall also, that if a module and its dual are highest weight then they must be irreducible.

Finally, let $\omega : \mathbf{U}_q \to \mathbf{U}_q$ be the algebra automorphism and coalgebra anti-automorphism obtained by extending the assignment $\omega(x_{i,k}^\pm) = -x_{i,-k}^\mp$. If V is any $\mathbf{U}_q$-module, let V^ω be the pull–back of V through ω. Then, $(V \otimes V')^\omega \cong (V')^\omega \otimes V^\omega$ and

$$V(\boldsymbol{\pi})^\omega = V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^\omega) \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}^\omega = (\pi_{\bar{1}}^-(q_1^2\kappa u), \cdots, \pi_{\bar{n}}^-(q_n^2\kappa u)),$$

for a fixed κ depending only on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Throughout this paper, we shall only work with polynomials in $\mathbf{C}(q)[u]$ which are split and have constant term 1. For any $0 \neq a \in \mathbf{C}(q)$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, set

$$\pi_{m,a}(u) = \prod_{r=1}^m (1 - aq^{m-2r+1}u). \tag{1.5}$$

It is a simple combinatorial fact [CP1] that any such polynomial can be written uniquely as a product

$$\pi(u)=\prod_{j=1}^{s}\pi_{m_j,a_j},$$

where $m_j\in\mathbf{Z}_+$, $a_j\in\mathbf{C}(q)$ and

$$j<\ell\implies\frac{a_j}{a_\ell}\neq q^{\pm(m_j+m_\ell-2p)},\quad 0\le p<\min(m_j,m_\ell).$$

If π and π' are two such polynomials, then we say that π is in general position with respect to π' if for all $1\le j\le s$, $1\le k\le s'$, we have

$$\frac{a_j}{a'_k}\neq q^{-(m_j+m'_k-2p)},$$

for any $0\le p<m_j$. This is equivalent to saying that for all roots a of π we have,

$$\frac{a}{a'_k}\neq q^{-1-m'_k},\ \forall\ \ 1\le k'\le s'.$$

We conclude this section with some results in the case when $\mathfrak{g}=sl_2$.

Theorem 2.

(i) The irreducible module $V(\pi_{m,a})$ with highest weight $\pi_{m,a}$ is of dimension $m+1$ and is irreducible as a $\mathbf{U}_q^{fin}$–module.

(ii) Assume that $a_1,\cdots,a_\ell\in\mathbf{C}(q)$ are such that if $r<s$ then $a_r/a_s\neq q^{-2}$ (i.e. the polynomial $(1-a_ru)$ is in general position with respect to $(1-a_su)$ for all $1\le r\le s\le\ell$) . The module $W(\pi)=V(\pi_{1,a_1})\otimes\cdots\otimes V(\pi_{1,a_\ell})$ is the universal finite–dimensional highest weight module with highest weight

$$\pi(u)=\prod_{r=1}^{\ell}(1-a_ru),$$

i.e. any other finite–dimensional highest weight module with highest weight π is a quotient of $W(\pi)$.

(iii) For $1\le r\le\ell$, let $a_r\in\mathbf{C}(q)$ and $m_r\in\mathbf{Z}_+$ be such that π_{m_r,a_r} is in general position with respect to π_{m_s,a_s} for all $1\le r\le s\le\ell$. The module $V(\pi_{m_1,a_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes V(\pi_{m_\ell,a_\ell})$ is a highest weight module with highest weight $\pi_{m_1,a_1}\cdots\pi_{m_\ell,a_\ell}$ and highest weight vector $v_{\pi_{m_1,a_1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\pi_{m_\ell,a_\ell}}$. In particular, the module $W(\pi_{m_1,a_1})\otimes V(\pi_{m_2,a_2})\otimes\cdots\otimes V(\pi_{m_\ell,a_\ell})$ is highest weight.

(iv) The module $V(\pi)\otimes V(\pi')$ is irreducible iff

$$\frac{a_r}{a_s}\neq q^{\pm(m_r+m_s-2p)}\quad\forall 0\le p<\min(m_r,m_s).$$

Proof. Parts (i) and (iv) were proved in [CP1]. Part (ii) was proved in [CP4], (see also [VV], [N2] in the case when $\pi(u)\in\mathbf{C}[q,q^{-1},u]$. In the general case, choose $v\in\mathbf{C}[q,q^{-1}]$ so that $\tilde\pi(u)=\pi(uv)$ has all its roots in $\mathbf{C}[q,q^{-1}]$. Let $\tau_v:\mathbf{U}_q\to\mathbf{U}_q$ be the algebra and coalgebra automorphism defined by sending $x_k^\pm\to v^kx_k^\pm$. The pull back of $V(\pi)$ through τ_v is $V(\tilde\pi)$ and hence $W(\pi(u))\cong W(\pi(uv)$. This proves (ii). Part (iii) is proved as in Lemma 4.9 in [CP1]. In fact, the proof given there establishes the stronger result stated here.

□

2. Braid group action

Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding braid group. Thus, $\mathcal{B}$ is the group generated by elements T_i $(i \in I)$ with defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_iT_j &= T_jT_i, \quad \text{if} \quad a_{ij} = 0, \\ T_iT_jT_i &= T_jT_iT_j \quad \text{if} \quad a_{ij}a_{ji} = 1, \\ (T_iT_j)^2 &= (T_jT_i)^2, \quad \text{if} \quad a_{ij}a_{ji} = 2, \\ (T_iT_j)^3 &= (T_jT_i)^3, \quad \text{if} \quad a_{ij}a_{ji} = 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ is the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{g}$.

A straightforward calculation gives the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For all $r \geq 1$, the formulas*

$$T_i e_j = e_j - q_i^r \frac{[ra_{ji}]_j}{[r]_j} e_i,$$

define a representation $\eta_r : \mathcal{B} \to end(V_r)$, where $V_r \cong \mathbf{C}(q)^n$ and $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis of V_r. Further, identifying

$$\mathcal{A}^n \cong \prod_{r=1}^{\infty} V_r,$$

we get a representation of $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{A}^n$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} &(T_i\mathbf{h})_j = \mathbf{h}_j(u), \quad \textit{if } a_{ji} = 0, \\ &(T_i\mathbf{h})_j = \mathbf{h}_j(u) + \mathbf{h}_i(qu), \quad \textit{if } a_{ji} = -1, \\ &(T_i\mathbf{h})_j = \mathbf{h}_j(u) + \mathbf{h}_i(q^3u) + \mathbf{h}_i(qu), \quad \textit{if } a_{ji} = -2, \\ &(T_i\mathbf{h})_j = \mathbf{h}_j(u) + \mathbf{h}_i(q^5u) + \mathbf{h}_i(q^3u) + \mathbf{h}_i(qu), \quad \textit{if } a_{ji} = -3, \\ &(T_i\mathbf{h})_i = -\mathbf{h}_i(q_i^2u), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in I$, $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{A}^n$. □

Let s_i, $i \in I$ be a set of simple reflections in W. For any $w \in W$, let $\ell(w)$ be the length of a reduced expression for w. If $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression for w, set $I_w = \{i_1, i_2, \cdots i_k\} \subset I$ and let $T_w = T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_k}$. It is well–known that T_w and I_w are independent of the choice of the reduced expression. Given $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{A}^n$ and $w \in W$, we have

$$T_w\mathbf{h} = T_{i_1}T_{i_2}\cdots T_{i_k}\mathbf{h} = ((T_w\mathbf{h})_1, \cdots, (T_w\mathbf{h})_n).$$

We can now prove:

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that $w \in W$ and $i \in I$ is such that $\ell(s_iw) = \ell(w) + 1$. There exists an integer $M \equiv M(i, w, \mathbf{h}) \geq 0$ and non–negative integers $p_{r,j}$ $(j \in I, 1 \leq r \leq M)$ such that*

$$(T_w\mathbf{h})_i = \sum_{j \in I_w \cup \{i\}} \sum_{r=1}^{M} p_{r,j}\mathbf{h}_j(q^r u). \tag{2.1}$$

Further, if $i \notin I_w$, then

$$(T_w\mathbf{h})_i = \mathbf{h}_i(u) + \sum_{j \in I_w} \sum_{r=1}^{M} p_{r,j}\mathbf{h}_{i_j}(q^r u). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Proceed by induction on $\ell(w)$; the induction clearly starts at $\ell(w) = 0$. Assume that the result is true for $\ell(w) < k$. If $\ell(w) = k$, write $w = s_jw'$ with $\ell(w') = k - 1$.

Notice that $j \neq i$ since $\ell(s_iw) = \ell(w) + 1$. We get

$$(T_w\mathbf{h})_i = (T_jT_{w'}\mathbf{h})_i = (T_{w'}\mathbf{h})_i(u) + \sum_{s=0}^{|a_{ij}|-1} (T_{w'}\mathbf{h})_j(q^{2|a_{ij}|-2s-1}u).$$

If $\ell(s_iw') = \ell(w') + 1$, in particular this happens if $i \ /I_w$, the proposition follow by induction. If $\ell(s_iw') = \ell(w') - 1$, we have $w = s_js_iw''$. Suppose that $a_{ij}a_{ji} = -1$. Then, $\ell(s_jw'') = \ell(w'') + 1$ and we get

$$(T_jT_iT_{w''}\mathbf{h})_i = (T_iT_{w''}\mathbf{h})_i + (T_iT_{w''}\mathbf{h})_j(qu) = (T_{w''}\mathbf{h})_j(q_iu).$$

The result again follows by induction. The cases when $a_{ij}a_{ji} = 2, 3$ are proved similarly. We omit the details. □

3. The main theorem

Our goal in this section is to obtain a sufficient condition for a tensor product of two highest weight representations to be highest weight.

Let V be any highest weight finite–dimensional $\mathbf{U}_q$–module with highest weight $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ (or $(\lambda, \mathbf{h}^{\pm})$ as in Theorem 1). For all $w \in W$, we have

$$\dim V_{w\lambda} = 1.$$

If $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ is a reduced expression for w, and $\lambda = \sum_i \lambda_i\omega_i$, set $m_k = \lambda_k$ and define non–negative integers m_j (depending on w), for $1 \leq j \leq k$, by

$$s_{i_{j+1}}s_{i_{j+2}} \cdots s_{i_k}\lambda = m_j\omega_j + \sum_{i \neq j} m_i'\omega_i.$$

Let v_λ be the highest weight vector in V. For $w \in W$, set

$$v_{w\lambda} = (x_{i_1,0}^-)^{m_1} \cdots (x_{i_k,0}^-)^{m_k}.v_\lambda.$$

If $i \in I$ is such that $\ell(s_iw) = \ell(w) + 1$, then

$$x_{i,k}^+.v_{w\lambda} = 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{3.1}$$

To see this, observe that $w\lambda + \alpha_i$ is not a weight of V, since $w^{-1}\alpha_i \in R^+$ if $\ell(s_iw) = \ell(w) + 1$. It is now easy to see that $v_{w\lambda} \neq 0$, $V_{w\lambda} = \mathbf{C}(q)v_{w\lambda}$ and

$$V = \mathbf{U}_q.v_{w\lambda}.$$

Since $h_{i,k}V_{w\lambda} \subset V_{w\lambda}$ for all $i \in I$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ it follows that

$$\frac{h_{i,k}}{[k]}.v_{w\lambda} = \mathbf{h}_{i,k}^w v_{w\lambda} \ \ \forall\, i \in I,\ 0 \neq k \in \mathbf{Z},$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{i,k}^w \in \mathbf{C}(q)$. Set

$$\mathbf{h}_i^w(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{h}_{i,k}^w u^k, \quad \mathbf{h}^w = (\mathbf{h}_1^w(u), \cdots \mathbf{h}_n^w(u)).$$

Recall that $\mathbf{h}^1 = -(\ln\pi_1(u), \cdots, \ln\pi_n(u))$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $w \in W$, then*

$$\mathbf{h}^w = T_w\mathbf{h}^1.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\ell(w)$. If $\ell(w) = 0$ then $w = id$ and the result follows by definition. Suppose that $\ell(w) = 1$, say $w = s_j$. Writing $\lambda = \sum_j \lambda_j\omega_j$, we have $v_{s_j\lambda} = (x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}.v_\lambda$. We first show that

$$h_{j,k}(u).v_{s_j\lambda} = -\mathbf{h}_j(q_j^2u)v_{s_j\lambda} = (T_j\mathbf{h})_j(u)v_{s_j\lambda}. \tag{3.2}$$

The subspace spanned by the elements $\{(x_{j,l}^-)^r.v_\lambda : 0 \leq r \leq \lambda_j\}$ is a highest weight module for $\mathbf{U}_j$, hence it is enough to prove (3.2) for highest weight representations of quantum affine sl_2. In fact it is enough to prove it for the module $W(\pi)$ of Theorem 2. Using Proposition 1.2, we see that the eigenvalue of $h_{i,k}$ on the tensor product of the lowest (and the highest) weight vectors is just the sum of the eigenvalues values in each representation. This reduces us to the case of the two-dimensional representation, which is trivial.

Next consider the case $\ell(w) = s_i$, with $i \neq j$. Recall that

$$[h_{i,r}, x_{j,0}^-] = -\frac{[ra_{ij}]_i}{r} x_{j,r}^-, \qquad [h_{j,r}, x_{j,0}^-] = -\frac{[2r]_j}{r} x_{j,r}^-.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i,r}(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j} &= (x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j} h_{i,r} + [h_{i,r}, (x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}] \\ &= (x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j} h_{i,r} + \frac{[ra_{ij}]_i}{[2r]_j}[h_{j,r}, (x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_{i,r}}{[r]_i}.(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}.v_\lambda &= \mathbf{h}_{i,r}(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}.v_\lambda + \frac{[ra_{ij}]_i}{(q_j^r + q_j^{-r})[r]_i}\left[\frac{h_{j,r}}{[r]_j}, (x_{i,0}^-)^{\lambda(h_i)}\right].v_\lambda, \\ &= \mathbf{h}_{i,r}(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}.v_\lambda + \frac{[ra_{ij}]_i}{(q_j^r + q_j^{-r})[r]_i}(-\mathbf{h}_{j,r}(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}.v_\lambda + \frac{h_{j,r}}{[r]_j}.\left(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}\right).v_\lambda, \\ &= \mathbf{h}_{i,r}(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}.v_\lambda - \frac{[ra_{ij}]_i}{(q_j^r + q_j^{-r})[r]_i}(\mathbf{h}_{j,r} + q_j^{2r}\mathbf{h}_{j,r}).(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}).v_\lambda, \\ &= (\mathbf{h}_{i,r} - q_j^r\frac{[ra_{ij}]_i}{[r]_i}\mathbf{h}_{j,r})(x_{j,0}^-)^{\lambda_j}.v_\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from (3.2).

This proves the result when $\ell(w) = 1$. Proceeding by induction on $\ell(w)$, write $w = s_j w'$ with $\ell(w') = \ell(w) - 1$. Since $v_{w\lambda} = (x_{j,0}^-)^{m_j} v_{w'\lambda}$ for some $m_j \geq 0$, the inductive step is proved exactly as in the case $\ell(w) = 1$, with v_λ being replaced by $v_{w'\lambda}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. ☐

Let $w_0 \in W$ be the longest element of the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V, V' be finite–dimensional highest weight representations with highest weights $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}'$ and highest weight vectors v_λ and $v_{\lambda'}$ respectively. Assume that $v_{w_0\lambda} \otimes v_{\lambda'} \in \mathbf{U}_q(v_\lambda \otimes v_{\lambda'})$. Then, $V \otimes V'$ is highest weight with highest weight vector $v_\lambda \otimes v_{\lambda'}$ and highest weight $\boldsymbol{\pi}\boldsymbol{\pi}' = (\pi_1\pi_1', \cdots, \pi_n\pi_n')$.*

Proof. It is clear from Proposition 1.2 that the element $v_\lambda \otimes v_{\lambda'}$ is a highest weight vector with highest weight $\boldsymbol{\pi}\boldsymbol{\pi}'$. It suffices to prove that

$$V \otimes V' = \mathbf{U}_q(v_\lambda \otimes v_{\lambda'}).$$

Since $x_{i,k}^-.v_{w_0\lambda} = 0$ for all $i \in I$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, it follows from Proposition 1.2 that

$$\Delta(x_{i,k}^-).(v_{w_0\lambda} \otimes v_{\lambda'}) = v_{w_0\lambda} \otimes x_{i,k}^-.v_{\lambda'}.$$

Repeating this argument we see that that $v_{w_0\lambda} \otimes V' \subset \mathbf{U}_q(v_\lambda \otimes v_{\lambda'})$. Now applying the generators E_{α_i}, F_{α_i} $(i \in \hat{I})$ repeatedly, we see that $V \otimes V' \subset \mathbf{U}_q(v_\lambda \otimes v_{\lambda'})$. This proves the lemma. ☐

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w \in W$ and assume that $i \in I$ is such that $\ell(s_i w) = \ell(w) + 1$. Then, $v_{w\lambda} \otimes v_{\lambda'}$ generates a $\mathbf{U}_i$–highest weight module with highest weight $(T_w\mathbf{h})_i\mathbf{h}_i'$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 1.2 and Proposition 3.1. ☐

We can now prove our main result. Given $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \cdots, \pi_n)$, and $w \in W$, set

$$T_w\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\exp - (T_w \ln \pi_1(u))_1, \cdots, \exp - (T_w \ln \pi_n(u))_n).$$

Theorem 3. Let $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ be a reduced expression for w_0. The module $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}_r)$ is highest weight if for all $1 \le j \le N$, $1 \le m \le \ell \le r$ the polynomial $(T_{i_{j+1}} T_{i_{j+2}} \cdots T_{i_N} \boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_{i_j}$ is in general position with respect to $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_\ell)_{i_j}$.

Proof. First observe that by Proposition 2.2, $(T_{i_{j+1}} T_{i_{j+2}} \cdots T_{i_N} \boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_{i_j}$ is indeed a polynomial. We also claim that for all $i \in I$, the polynomial $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_i$ is in general position with respect to $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_\ell)_i$ if $m < \ell$. To see this, choose $1 \le j \le N$ maximal so that $i_j = i$, and let $w = s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N}$. Then $i \notin I_w$ and hence by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$(T_{i_{j+1}} T_{i_{j+2}} \cdots T_{i_N} \boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_{i_j} = (\boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_i(u) \prod_{r=0}^{M} \prod_{s=j+1}^{N} ((\boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_s(q^r u))^{p_{r,s}},$$

for some nonnegative integers M, $p_{r,s}$. The claim follows since $(T_{i_{j+1}} T_{i_{j+2}} \cdots T_{i_N} \boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_{i_j}$ is in general position with respect to $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_\ell)_{i_j}$.

If $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$, the theorem was proved in Theorem 2 (ii). For arbitrary $\mathfrak{g}$, we proceed by induction on r. If $r = 1$ there is nothing to prove. Let $r > 1$ and let $V' = V(\boldsymbol{\pi}_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}_r)$. Then V' is highest weight module with highest weight vector $v' = v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_r}$ and highest weight $\boldsymbol{\pi}' = \boldsymbol{\pi}_2 \cdots \boldsymbol{\pi}_r$. Setting $\lambda = \deg\boldsymbol{\pi}_1 = ((\deg\boldsymbol{\pi}_1)_1, \cdots, (\deg\boldsymbol{\pi}_1)_n)$, it is enough by Lemma 3.1 to prove that

$$v_{w_0\lambda} \otimes v' \in \mathbf{U}_q(v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_1} \otimes v').$$

By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove that for all $1 \le j \le N$,

$$v_{s_{i_j} s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \lambda} \otimes v' \in \mathbf{U}_{i_j}(v_{s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \lambda} \otimes v').$$

In fact it suffices to prove that

$$\mathbf{U}_{i_j}(v_{s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \lambda} \otimes v') = \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \lambda} \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v',$$

as $\mathbf{U}_{i_j}$–modules.

By Theorem 2(iii), we know that $\mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N}}$ is a quotient of $W((T_{i_{j+1}} \cdots T_{i_n} \boldsymbol{\pi}_1)_{i_j})$. Further we claim that

$$\mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v' = \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_r}.$$

To see this, notice that the left hand side is clearly contained in the right hand side. Since V' is highest weight it follows that

$$\mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_r} \subset \mathbf{U}_q(<).v.$$

Since any element in $\mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_r}$ has weight $\sum_{m=2}^{r} \deg(\boldsymbol{\pi}_m) - p\alpha_{i_j}$, for some $p \ge 0$, it now follows that

$$\mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{\boldsymbol{\pi}_r} \subset \mathbf{U}_{i_j}(<).v',$$

thus establishing our claim. Since, $\mathbf{U}_{i_j} v_{\pi_m)_{i_j}} \simeq V((\boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_{i_j})$ as $\mathbf{U}_q(\hat{sl}_2)$–modules, we have therefore proved that $\mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v_{s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N} \lambda} \otimes \mathbf{U}_{i_j}.v'$ is a quotient of the tensor product of $\mathbf{U}_{i_j}$–modules $W((\boldsymbol{\pi}_1)_{i_j}) \otimes V((\boldsymbol{\pi}_2)_{i_j}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V((\boldsymbol{\pi}_r)_{i_j})$. Since we have proved that the polynomial $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_m)_{i_j}$ is in general position with respect to $(\boldsymbol{\pi}_\ell)_{i_j}$ if $m < \ell$, the result now follows from Theorem 2(iii).

□

4. Relationship wth Kashiwara's results and conjectures

Let us consider the special case when $\mathbf{h}$ has the following form,

$$\mathbf{h}_j^{\pm}(u) = 0, \quad j \neq i, \quad \mathbf{h}_i^{\pm}(u) = -\sum_{r=1}^{m} \ln(1 - aq_i^{m-2r+1}u),$$

and denote the corresponding n–tuple of power series by $\mathbf{h}^i_{m,a}$ and the n–tuple of polynomials by $\boldsymbol{\pi}^i_{m,a}$. We shall prove the following result.

Theorem 4. Let $k_1, k_2 \cdots, k_l \in I$, $a_1, \cdots, a_l \in \mathbf{C}(q)$, $m_1, \cdots, m_\ell \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, and assume that

$$r < s \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{a_r}{a_s} \neq q^{d_{k_r}m_r - d_{k_s}m_s - d_{k_r} - d_{k_s} - p} \quad \forall \quad p \geq 0.$$

Then, the tensor product $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_1}_{m_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_\ell}_{m_\ell,a_\ell})$ is a highest weight module.

Assume the theorem for the moment.

Remark. In the special case when $m_j = 1$ for all j, it was conjectured in [AK] that such a tensor product is cyclic if a_j/a_l does not have a pole at $q = 0$ if $j < \ell$, and this was proved when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n or C_n; subsequently, a geometric proof of this conjecture was given in [VV] when $\mathfrak{g}$ is simply–laced; a complete proof was given using crystal basis methods in [K].

The following corollary to Theorem 4 was conjectured in [K], [HKOTY].

Corollary 4.1. *The tensor product* $V = V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_1}_{m_1,1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_\ell}_{m_\ell,1})$ *is an irreducible* $\mathbf{U}_q$*–module.*

Proof. First observe that if $d_{k_1}m_1 \leq d_{k_2}m_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_{k_l}m_\ell$ then the tensor product is cyclic by Theorem 4. We claim that it suffices to prove the corollary in the case when $\ell = 2$. For then, by rearranging the factors in the tensor product we can show that both V and its dual are highest weight and hence irreducible. To see that $V = V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_1}_{m_1,1}) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_2}_{m_2,2})$ is cyclic if $d_{k_1}m_1 > d_{k_2}m_2$, we have to consider the case when $d_{k_1}m_1 - d_{k_2}m_2 - d_{k_1} - d_{k_2} \geq 0$. It suffices to show that V^ω is cyclic, since ω is an algebra automorphism. Now, $V^\omega = V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\overline{k}_2}_{m_2,q_2^2\kappa}) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\overline{k}_1}_{m_1,q_1^2\kappa})$ for some fixed κ depending only on $\mathfrak{g}$. By Theorem 4, this is cyclic, since $2d_{k_2} - 2d_{k_1} \neq d_{k_2}m_2 - d_{k_1}m_1 - d_{k_1} - d_{k_2} - p$ for any $p \geq 0$. This proves the result.

□

It remains to prove the theorem, for which we must show that, if $w_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ and $w = s_{i_{j+1}} \cdots s_{i_N}$, then the polynomial $\left(T_w \boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_r}_{m_r,a_r}\right)_{i_j}$ is in general position with respect to $(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_\ell}_{m_\ell,a_\ell})_{i_j}$ for all $r < \ell$.

Using Propositon 2.2, we see that

$$\left(T_w \boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_r}_{m_r,a_r}\right)_{i_j} = \prod_{s \geq 0} \pi_{m_r,a_r}(q^s u),$$

where s varies over a finite subset of $\mathbf{Z}_+$ with multiplicity. This means that any root of $(T_w \boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_r}_{m_r,a_r})_{i_j}$ has the form $q^{d_{k_r}(m_r - 2p + 1) + s} a_r$ where $s \geq 0$ and $1 \leq p \leq m_r$, If $\ell \neq i_j$, there is nothing to prove since $(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_\ell}_{m_\ell,a_\ell})_{i_j} = 1$. If $\ell = i_j$, then the assumption on a_r/a_ℓ implies that

$$\frac{q^{d_{k_r}(-m_r + 2p + 1) + s} a_r}{a_l} \neq q^{-d_{k_\ell}(1 + m_\ell)}.$$

This proves the theorem.

5. The cyclicity condition made explicit

In this section we work with a specific reduced expression for the longest element w_0 of the Weyl group, and give the condition explicitly for the tensor product $V(\boldsymbol{\pi})\otimes V(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}})$ to be cyclic. In what follows we assume that the nodes of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ are numbered so that 1 is the short root (resp. long root) when $\mathfrak{g}=B_n$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g}=C_n$) and that 1 and 2 are the spin nodes when $\mathfrak{g}=D_n$.

5.1. **The classical algebras.** The main result is:

Theorem 5. (i) Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_n. Then $V(\boldsymbol{\pi})\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}')$ is cyclic if for all $1\le j\le i\le n$, we have that the polynomial

$$\prod_{r=i-j+1}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-j-r}u)\pi_{i-j+2}(q^{i-2}u)\cdots\pi_i(q^{i-j}u)$$

is in general position with respect to π'_j.

(ii) Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type B_n. Then $V(\boldsymbol{\pi})\otimes V(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}})$ is cyclic if for all $i\ge 1$ we have that the polynomial

$$\pi_1(q^{4i-4}u)\prod_{r=2}^{i}\pi_r(q^{4i-1-2r}u)\pi_r(q^{4i-3-2r}u)$$

is in general position with respect to π'_1 and for all $i\ge j\ge 2$,the polynomials

$$\pi_1(q^{4i-2j-3}u)\prod_{r=2}^{i}\pi_r(q^{4i-2j-2r}u)\prod_{r=2}^{j-1}\pi_r(q^{4i-2j-6+2r}u),$$
$$\prod_{r=j}^{i}\pi_r(q^{4i-6+2j-2r}u)$$

are in general position with respect to π'_j.

(iii) Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type C_n. Then $V(\boldsymbol{\pi})\otimes V(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}})$ is cyclic if for all $i\ge 1$ we have that the polynomial

$$\prod_{r=1}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-1-r}u)$$

is in general position with respect to π'_1 and for all $i\ge j\ge 2$ the polynomials

$$\pi_1(q^{2i-j-1}u)\pi_1(q^{2i-j+1}u)\textstyle\prod_{r=2}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-j-r}u)\prod_{r=2}^{j-1}\pi_r(q^{2i-j+r}u)$$
$$\textstyle\prod_{r=j}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-r+j}u)$$

are in general position with respect to π'_j

(iv) Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n. Then $V(\boldsymbol{\pi})\otimes V(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\pi}})$ is cyclic if for all $i\ge 3$, and i even (resp. i odd), we have

$$\pi_1(q^{2i-4}u)\prod_{r=3}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-2-r}u),$$
$$(\mathit{resp.}\ \pi_2(q^{2i-4}u)\prod_{r=3}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-2-r}u))$$

is in general position with respect to π'_1,

$$\pi_2(q^{2i-4}u)\prod_{r=3}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-2-r}u),\quad(\mathit{resp.}\ \pi_1(q^{2i-4}u)\prod_{r=3}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-2-r}u)),$$

is in general position with respect to π_2' and and for all $i \geq j \geq 3$, the polynomials

$$\pi_1(q^{2i-j-2}u)\pi_2(q^{2i-j-2}u)\prod_{r=3}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-j-r}u)\prod_{r=3}^{j-1}\pi_r(q^{2i-j+r-4}u,$$

$$\prod_{r=j}^{i}\pi_r(q^{2i-r+j-4}u),$$

are in general position with respect to π_j'.

We note the following corollary of this theorem which gives us a condition for a tensor product $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_1}_{m_1,a_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{k_\ell}_{m_\ell,a_\ell})$ to be cyclic. It suffices in view of Theorem 3 to give the condition for a pair of such representations to be cyclic. Recall also that the representation $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,a_1}) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,a_2})$ is cyclic if and only if the representation $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,q_{i_2}^2a_2^{-1}}) \otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,q_{i_1}^{-1}a_1^{-1}})$ is cyclic.

Corollary 5.1. *The tensor product* $V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,a_1})\otimes V(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,a_2})$ *is cyclic if* $a_1^{-1}a_2 \notin \mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,a_1}, \boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,a_2})$, *where*

$$\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_1}_{m_1,a_1}, \boldsymbol{\pi}^{i_2}_{m_2,a_2}) = \bigcup_{p=1}^{min(m_1,m_2)} q_{i_2}^{d_{i_1}m_1+d_{i_2}m_2+d_1+d_2-2p}\mathcal{S}(i_1,i_2)$$

and $\mathcal{S}(i_1,i_2)$ *is defined as follows:*

(i) $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$,

$$\mathcal{S}(i_1,i_2) = \{q^{2k-i-j} : 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq k \leq n,\ i_1+i_2 \geq k+1\}.$$

(ii) $\mathfrak{g} = B_n$,

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{S}(1,1) = \{q^{4k-4} : 1 \leq k \leq n\},\\ \mathcal{S}(1,i_2) = \{q^{4k-2i_2-3} : 2 \leq i_2 \leq n\},\\ \mathcal{S}(i_1,1) = \{q^{4k-2i_1-3},\ q^{4k-2i_1-1} : 2 \leq i_1 \leq n\},\\ \mathcal{S}(i_1,i_2) = \{q^{4k-2i_2-2i_1},\ \ q^{4k-2\ max(i_1,i_2)+2\ min(i_1,i_2)-6} : 2 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq k \leq n, \}.\end{gathered}$$

(iii) $\mathfrak{g} = C_n$,

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{S}(i_1,1) = \{q^{2k-1-i_1} : 1 \leq i_1 \leq k \leq n\},\\ \mathcal{S}(1,i_1) = \{q^{2k-1-i_1},\ q^{2k+1-i_1} : 1 \leq i_1 \leq k \leq n\},\\ \mathcal{S}(i_1,i_2) = \{q^{2k-i_1-i_2},\ \ q^{2k-max(i_1,i_2)+\ min(i_1,i_2)} : 2 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq k \leq n\}.\end{gathered}$$

(iv) $\mathfrak{g} = D_n$,

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{S}(1,1) = \{q^{2k-4} : 1 \leq k \leq n, k \equiv 0 \mod 2\} = \mathcal{S}(2,2),\\ \mathcal{S}(1,2) = \{q^{2k-4} : 1 \leq k \leq n, k \equiv 1 \mod 2\}\\ \mathcal{S}(i_1,1) = \{q^{2k-i_1-2} : 3 \leq i_1 \leq k \leq n\} = \mathcal{S}(i_1,2),\\ \mathcal{S}(i_1,i_2) = \{q^{2k-i_1-i_2},\ \ q^{2k-max(i_1,i_2)+min(i_1,i_2)-4} : 3 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq k \leq n\}.\end{gathered}$$

To prove Theorem 5, we fix a reduced expression for the longest element w_0. Thus, we take $w_0 = \gamma_n\gamma_{n-1}\cdots\gamma_1$, where

$$\gamma_i = \begin{cases} s_1s_2\cdots s_i, & \mathfrak{g} = A_n,\\ s_is_{i-1}\cdots s_1s_2\cdots s_i, & \mathfrak{g} = B_n, C_n,\\ s_is_{i-1}\cdots s_2s_1s_3\cdots s_i, & \mathfrak{g} = D_n.\end{cases}$$

It is convenient also to set $w_i = \gamma_{n-i}\gamma_{n-i-1}\cdots\gamma_1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Theorem 5 is an immediate consequence of the following proposition, which is easily established by an induction on i. We state the proposition only for algebras of type A_n and B_n, the results for the other algebras are entirely similar.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{A}^n$.

(i) $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$. *For all* $i \geq j$, *we have*

$$\begin{gathered}(T_{j+1} \cdots T_i T_{w_{i-1}} \mathbf{h})_j = \textstyle\sum_{r=i-j+1}^{i} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{2i-j-r}u),\\ T_{w_i}(\mathbf{h}) = (-\mathbf{h}_i(q^{i+1}u), \cdots, -\mathbf{h}_1(q^{i+1}u),\ \textstyle\sum_{r=1}^{i+1} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{i+1-r}u),\ \mathbf{h}_{i+2}(u), \cdots, \mathbf{h}_n(u)).\end{gathered}$$

(ii) $\mathfrak{g} = B_n$. *For all* $i \geq j$,

$$\begin{aligned}(T_{j+1}T_{j+2} \cdots T_i T_{w_{i-1}} \mathbf{h})_j = &\quad \mathbf{h}_1(q^{4i-2j-3}u) + \textstyle\sum_{r=2}^{i} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{4i-2j-2r}u) + \sum_{r=2}^{j-1} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{4i-2j-6+2r}u),\\ (T_2T_3 \cdots T_i T_{w_{i-1}} \mathbf{h})_1 = &\quad \mathbf{h}_1(q^{4i-4}u) + \textstyle\sum_{r=2}^{i} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{4i-1-2r}u) + \mathbf{h}_r(q^{4i-3-2r}u),\\ (T_{j-1} \cdots T_2T_1 \cdots T_i T_{w_{i-1}} \mathbf{h})_j = &\quad \textstyle\sum_{r=j}^{i} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{4i+2j-6-2r}u),\end{aligned}$$

$$(T_{w_i}\mathbf{h})_j = \begin{cases} -\mathbf{h}_j(q^{4i-2}u) & if\ j \leq i,\\ = \mathbf{h}_1(q^{2i-1}u) + \sum_{r=2}^{i+1} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{2i-2r+2}u) + \sum_{r=2}^{j-1} \mathbf{h}_r(q^{4i+2r-4}u) & if\ j = i+1,\\ = \mathbf{h}_j(u) & if j > i+2.\end{cases}$$

5.2. **The Exceptional algebras.** We content ourselves with writing down the set $\mathcal{S}(i_1, i_2)$, $i_1 \leq i_2$ which gives the values of $a_1^{-1}a_2$ for which the tensor product $V(i_1, a_1) \otimes V(i_2, a_2)$ is not cyclic, as usual if $i_1 > i_2$ the condition is that $q_1^2 q_2^{-2} a_1^{-1} a_2 \notin \mathcal{S}(i_2, i_1)$. The values were obtained by using mathematica, the program can be used to write down the conditions for an arbitrary tensor product of representations to be irreducible.

We first consider the algebras E_n, $n = 6, 7, 8$, the nodes are numbered as in [Bo] so that 2 is the special node. The reduced expression for the longest element is chosen as in [CXi], namely:

$$\begin{aligned} E_6 &\quad s_1s_3s_4s_2s_5s_4s_3s_1s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6u_1,\\ E_7 &\quad s_7s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7s_1s_3s_4s_5s_2s_4s_3s_1s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7u_2,\\ E_8 &\quad s_8s_7s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7s_8s_1s_3s_4s_2s_2s_5s_4s_3s_1s_6s_5s_7s_6s_4s_3s_2s_5s_4s_5s_2s_3s_4\\ &\quad \times s_6s_5s_7s_6s_1s_3s_4s_2s_5s_4s_3s_1s_8s_7s_6s_5s_4s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7s_8u_3,\end{aligned}$$

where u_1 (resp. u_2, u_3) are the longest elements of D_5 obtained by dropping the node 6 (resp. 7,8) (resp. E_6, E_7) chosen previously.

Proposition 5.2. (i) *Assume that* $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$. *Then,*

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_6(1,1) = \{q^2, q^8\} = q^{-4}\mathcal{S}_6(1,6),\\
\mathcal{S}_6(1,2) = \{q^5, q^9\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(1,3) = \{q^3, q^7, q^9\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(1,4) = \{q^4, q^6, q^8, q^{10}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(1,5) = \{q^5, q^7, q^{11}\},\\
\\
\mathcal{S}_6(2,2) = \{q^2, q^6, q^8, q^{12}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(2,3) = \{q^4, q^6, q^8, q^{10}\} = \mathcal{S}_6(2,5),\\
\mathcal{S}_6(2,4) = \{q^3, q^5, q^7, q^9, q^{11}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(2,6) = \{q^5, q^9\},\\
\\
\mathcal{S}_6(3,3) = \{q^2, q^4, q^6, q^8, q^{10}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(3,4) = \{q^3, q^5, q^7, q^9, q^{11}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(3,5) = \{q^4, q^6, q^8, q^{10}, q^{12}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(3,6) = \{q^5, q^7, q^{11}\}\\
\\
\mathcal{S}_6(4,4) = \{q^2, q^4, q^6, q^8, q^{10}, q^{12}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(4,5) = \{q^3, q^5, q^7, q^9, q^{11}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(4,6) = \{q^4, q^6, q^8, q^{10}\},\\
\\
\mathcal{S}_6(5,5) = \{q^2, q^4, q^6, q^8, q^{10}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_6(5,6) = \{q^3, q^7, q^9\},\\
\\
\mathcal{S}_6(6,6) = \{q^2, q^8\}.
\end{gathered}$$

(ii) *If* $\mathfrak{g} = E_7$, *then* $\mathcal{S}(i,j)$*is the union of the set* $\mathcal{S}_6(i,j)$ *with the sets* $\mathcal{S}_7(i,j)$ *defined below.*

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_7(1,1) = \{q^{12}, q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(1,2) = \{q^{11}, q^{13}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(1,3) = \{q^{11}, q^{13}, q^{15}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(1,4) = \{q^{12}, q^{14}, q^{16}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(1,5) = \{q^9, q^{13}, q^{15}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(1,6) = \{q^8, q^{14}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_7(1,7) = \{q^7\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_7(2,2)=\{q^{10},q^{14},q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(2,3)=\{q^{12},q^{16}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_7(2,4)=\{q^{9},q^{13},q^{15},q^{17}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(2,5)=\{q^{8},q^{12},q^{14},q^{16}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_7(2,6)=\{q^{7},q^{11},q^{13},q^{15}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(2,7)=\{q^{6},q^{10},q^{14}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_7(3,3)=\{q^{12},q^{14},q^{16},q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(3,4)=\{q^{13},q^{15},q^{17}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(3,5)=\{q^{14},q^{16}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(3,6)=\{q^{9},q^{13},q^{15}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_7(3,7)=\{q^{6},q^{8},q^{12},q^{14}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_7(4,4)=\{q^{14},q^{16},q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(4,5)=\{q^{13},q^{15},q^{17}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(4,6)=\{q^{12},q^{14},q^{16}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(4,7)=\{q^{5},q^{7},q^{9},q^{11},q^{13},q^{15}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_7(5,5)=\{q^{12},q^{14},q^{16},q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(5,6)=\{q^{5},q^{11},q^{13},q^{15},q^{17}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(5,7)=\{q^{4},q^{8},q^{10},q^{12},q^{16}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_7(6,6)=\{q^{4},q^{10},q^{12},q^{16},q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(6,7)=\{q^{3},q^{9},q^{11},q^{17}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_7(7,7)=\{q^{2},q^{10},q^{18}\}.
\end{gathered}$$

(iii) *If $\mathfrak{g} = E_8$, then $\mathcal{S}(i,j)$is the union of the set $\mathcal{S}_6(i,j) \cup \mathcal{S}_7(i,j)$ with the sets $\mathcal{S}_8(i,j)$ defined below.*

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_8(1,1) = \{q^{14}, q^{20}, q^{24}, q^{30}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(1,2) = \{q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{27}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(1,3) = \{q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{29}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(1,4) = \{q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_7(1,5) = \{q^{11}, q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{27}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(1,6) = \{q^{10}, q^{16}, q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{24}, q^{26}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(1,7) = \{q^{9}, q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{25}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(1,8) = \{q^{8}, q^{14}, q^{18}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(2,2) = \{q^{12}, q^{16}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{30}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(2,3) = \{q^{14}, q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(2,4) = \{q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{27}, q^{29}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(2,5) = \{q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(2,6) = \{q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{7}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(2,7) = \{q^{12}, q^{16}, q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(2,8) = \{q^{7}, q^{11}, q^{17}, q^{21}, q^{25}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_8(3,3) = \{q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}, q^{30}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(3,4) = \{q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{27}, q^{29}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(3,5) = \{q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(3,6) = \{q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{27}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(3,7) = \{q^{10}, q^{16}, q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(3,8) = \{q^{7}, q^{9}, q^{13}, q^{15}, q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{23}, q^{25}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_8(4,4) = \{q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}, q^{30}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(4,5) = \{q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{27}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(4,6) = \{q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(4,7) = \{q^{17}, q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{27}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(4,8) = \{q^{6}, q^{8}, q^{10}, q^{12}, q^{14}, q^{16}, q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_8(5,5) = \{q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}, q^{30}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(5,6) = \{q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{25}, q^{27}, q^{29}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(5,7) = \{q^{6}, q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(5,8) = \{q^{5}, q^{9}, q^{11}, q^{13}, q^{15}, q^{17}, q^{19}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}_8(6,6) = \{q^{6}, q^{14}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{24}, q^{26}, q^{28}, q^{30}\},\\
\mathcal{S}_8(6,7) = \{q^{5}, q^{13}, q^{15}, q^{19}, q^{21}, q^{23}, q^{27}, q^{29}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(6,8) = \{q^{4}, q^{8}, q^{12}, q^{14} m q^{18}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{28}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(7,7) = \{q^{12}, q^{14}, q^{20}, q^{22}, q^{28}, q^{30}\}\\
\mathcal{S}_8(7,8) = \{q^{3}, q^{11}, q^{13}, q^{19} . q^{21}, q^{29}\},
\end{gathered}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_8(8,8) = \{q^{2}, q^{12}, q^{20}, q^{30}\}.$$

If $\mathfrak{g} = F_4$, then we work with the following reduced expression for w_0,

$$s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_2s_3s_4s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1s_2s_3s_2s_3.$$

We assume here that the nodes 1 and 2 correspond to the short simple roots. Assume that $d_i \geq d_j$, then $V(i,a) \otimes V(j,b)$ is cyclic if $ab^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}(i,j)$, where

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}(1,1) = \{q^2, q^8, q^{12}, q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}(1,2) = \{q^7, q^9, q^{13}, q^{17}\},\\
\mathcal{S}(1,3) = \{q^6, q^{10}, q^{16}\},\\
\mathcal{S}(1,4)) = \{q^8, q^{14}\}.
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}(2,2) = \{q^2, q^6, q^8, q^{10}, q^{12}, q^{14}, q^{16}, q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}(2,3) = \{q^5, q^7, q^9, q^{11}, q^{13}, q^{15}, q^{17}\},\\
\mathcal{S}(2,4) = \{q^7, q^{11}, q^{13}, q^{15}\},
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}(3,3) = \{q^6, q^8, q^{10}, q^{12}, q^{14}, q^{16}, q^{18}\},\\
\mathcal{S}(3,4) = \{q^6, q^8, q^{10}, q^{14}, q^{16}\},
\end{gathered}$$

$$\mathcal{S}(4,4) = \{q^4, q^{10}, q^{12}, q^{18}\}$$

If $\mathfrak{g} = G_2$, then we choose the following reduced expression for w_0, where 1 is corresponds to the short simple root.

$$s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2s_1.$$

We have,

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{S}(1,1) = \{q^2, q^6, q^8, q^{12}\}\\
\mathcal{S}(1,2) = \{q^7, q^{11}\}
\end{gathered}$$

$$\mathcal{S}(2,2) = \{q^6, q^8, q^{10}, q^{12}\}$$

References

[AK] T. Akasaka and M. Kashiwara, Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), no. 5, 839-867.

[B] J. Beck, Braid group action and quantum affine algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **165** (1994), 555-568.

[BCP] J. Beck, V. Chari and A. Pressley, An algebraic characterization of the affine canonical basis, *Duke Math. J.* **99** (1999), no. 3, 455-487.

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 4,5,6, Hermann, Paris (1968).

[CP1] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Quantum affine algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), 261-283.

[CP2] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Quantum affine algebras and their representations, in Representations of Groups, (Banff, AB, 1994), 59-78, *CMS Conf. Proc.* **16**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1995.

[CP3] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Minimal affinizations of representations of quantum groups: the simply-laced case, *J. Alg.* **184** (1996), no. 1, 1-30.

[CP4] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Weyl modules for classical and quantum affine algebras, to appear in Representation Theory, 2001.

[CXi] V. Chari and N. Xi, Monomial bases of quantized enveloping algebras, *Comtemp. Math* **248** (1999), 69–81.

[Da] I. Damiani, *La R-matrice pour les algèbres quantiques de type affine non tordu*, preprint.

[Dr] V.G. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and quantum affine algebras. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988), 212-216.

[HKOTY] G. Hatayama, A. Kuniba, M. Okado, T. Takagi, Y. Yamada, Remarks on the fermionic formula, *Contemp. Math* **248** (1999).

[J] N. Jing, On Drinfeld realization of quantum affine algebras. The Monster and Lie algebras (Columbus, OH, 1996), pp. 195-206, *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.* **7**, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.

[K] M. Kashiwara, On level zero representations of quantized affine algberas, math.qa/0010293.

[N1] H. Nakajima, Quiver varieties and finite–dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), 145–238.

[N2] H. Nakajima, t–analogue of the q–characters of finite–dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, math. QA/0009231.

[VV] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, Standard modules for quantum affine algebras, math.qa/0006084.

Vyjayanthi Chari, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521.